

Verification of 2018 HWRF and HMON Performance

The Hurricane Project Team NOAA/NWS/NCEP/EMC

Presented by

Bin Liu*, Avichal Mehra[#], Zhan Zhang*, Lin Zhu*, Weiguo Wang*, Biju Thomas*, Hyun-Sook Kim*, Keqin Wu*, Sergio Abarca*, Peter Black*, Jili Dong*, Dan Iredell*, Qingfu Liu#, Zaizhong Ma*, Dmitry Sheinin*, Jason Sippel[%], Henry Winterbottom*, and Vijay Tallapragada[#] *IMSG at EMC/NCEP/NWS/NOAA; #EMC/NCEP/NWS/NOAA; %HRD/AOML/NOAA

in collaboration with

HRD/AOML, DTC, NHC, JTWC, GFDL, ESRL, URI, OU, SUNY Albany, CCU, and other HFIP/JHT PIs HFIP Annual Review Meeting, November 5-7, 2018









- Overview of FY2018 HWRF and HMON upgrades
- HWRF/HMON performance for different TC basins
- Highlights/discussions of model performance for individual storms
- Summary of FY2018 HWRF and HMON performance

Highlights of FY2018 HWRF Upgrades

Infrastructure Enhancements

Upgrade dynamic core from WRF3.8.1a to WRF3.9.1 (with bug fixes)

- T&E with 2017 4D-Hybrid GDAS/GFS IC/BC
- Increase horizontal resolution from (18/6/2-km) to (13.5/4.5/1.5-km)
- Slightly reduced domain sizes for the two nested domains
- Unify the vertical level configuration for all global TC basins (L75 with a model top of 10 hPa)
- Increase parent domain size (with HRD)
- Vortex Initialization/DA Improvements (with HRD) •
 - GSI code upgrades and disable SSMI channel 2 data
 - Stochastic physics for self-cycled DA ensemble members
 - Admit new data sets (GOES-16 AMVs, NOAA-20, SFMR, TDR/G-IV)
 - Consider dropsonde drifting
- Physics Advancements
 - Adjust the horizontal diffusion and convergence damping coefficients
 - Updated RRTMG scheme with a new cloud overlap method (DTC/AER)
 - In-cloud mixing modification for GFS EDMF PBL scheme (Zhu, FIU)
 - YSU PBL scheme (Fovell, University at Albany SUNY)
- Air-Sea Interaction and Coupling
 - Unified HWRF/HMON coupler with double precision coordinates from the HWRF component
 - Add a POM ocean domain for the CPAC basin
 - Enable ocean coupling (with HYCOM) for Southern Hemisphere basins
 - Sea surface wave initial condition from global wave model

H218 intensity forecast for 2015-2017 NATL/EPAC storms HWRF FORECAST - INTENSITY RELATIVE SKILL (%) STATISTICS VERIFICATION FOR ATLANTIC BASIN





Highlights of FY2018 HMON Upgrades

- System and Resolution Enhancements
 - Upgrade to the latest NMMB dynamic core (with bug fixes)
 - T&E with 2017 4D-Hybrid GDAS/GFS IC/BC
 - Increase vertical levels from 42 to 51 with a model top of 50 hPa
 - NMMB dynamic core optimization (IBM analyst)
 - Change diffusion parameterization
- Initialization Improvements
 - Updated composite vortex
- Physics Advancements
 - Update momentum and enthalpy exchange coefficients
 - Use scale-aware SAS scheme
 - Use GFS-EDMF PBL scheme
 - Explore using of MYJ surface layer + MYJ PBL
- Coupling Upgrades
 - Use unified HWRF/HMON coupler
 - Add HYCOM coupling in NATL basin





TRACK

Verification for 2018 North Atlantic Basin

Real-Time Performance (Early Guidance)







IRACK

Verification for 2018 Eastern Pacific Basin

6

ND ATMOSA

NOA

TMENT O

Real-Time Performance (Early Guidance)





Verification for 2018 Western Pacific Basin

Real-Time Performance (Early Guidance)

ND ATMOSE

NOAP

TMENT O





Intensity Distribution and Wind-Pressure Relation

8

AD ATMOS

NOAF

2018 HWRF/HMON Performance





24hr Intensity Change Distribution and Model Performance for RI Storms





HWRF HMON COTC CTCX



Rapid Intensity Change Forecast Performance

HWRF POD and FAR for RI







Rapid Intensity Change Forecast Performance

HMON POD and FAR for RI







EPAC



HWRF/HMON Forecast for Florence (06L)

Track, intensity and rainfall forecast



NO ATMOS

NOA



HWRF/HMON Forecast for Michael (14L)

Track and intensity errors and composites



13

NO ATMOS

NOA



HWRF Forecast for Michael (14L)

HWRF ocean initialization and coupling







400

350·

300 -

250 -

200

100

35

25

20

15.

10

29

£ 30 -

Ŵ

ERRORS

RACK 150 MODEL FORECAST - TRACK ERRORS (NM)

22

FORECAST PERIOD (HR)

20

HWRF: HWRF Oper

AVNO: GFS Oper

Track error

26 24

HWRF: HWRF Oper

Intensity error

26

28

24

22

FORECAST PERIOD (HR)

20

18

NOAA/NCEP/EMO

avon

75°W

60°W

45°W

30°W

15°W

HMON: HMON Oper

CTCX: COAMPS-TC/GFS

HMON: HMON Oper

CTCX: COAMPS-TC/GFS

HWRF/HMON Forecast for Isaac (09L)

Track and intensity errors and composites



09/04 09/06 09/08 09/10

09/12

Date (mm/dd)

09/16 09/18 09/20 09/22 15

AD ATMOS

NOA



HWRF Ocean Initialization for Isaac (09L)

SST and D26 valid at 2019090900Z







HWRF/HMON Forecast for Some EPAC Storms Hector (10E), Lane (14E) and Willa (24E)

17

NO ATMOS





HWRF Forecast for Some WPAC Storms Mangkhut (26W), Trami (28W), and Kong-Rey (30W)

THE REAL OF ATMOSPHERE

18







- FY2018 HWRF continues to be one of the best dynamical intensity guidance models. HWRF's intensity forecast skill is very close to OFCL guidance within day 3 for NATL storms; though is overall behind OFCL and CTCX for EPAC storms. For WPAC, HWRF's intensity is better than other dynamical intensity guidance models, while being slightly behind JTWC's official forecast.
- For intensity forecast of RI storms, HWRF performed very well for all three basins (NATL/EPAC/WPAC), especially with much improved POD/FAR for RI forecasting of WPAC storms.
- For track forecast skill, HWRF is overall very close or slightly behind its parent model GFS.
- There were some over-intensification cycles for Isaac (09L) and other storms. Also several cycles of Mangkhut (26W, a very strong WPAC storm) experienced numerical instability issue.





- FY2018 HMON implementation was the first upgrade after it replaced the legacy GFDL hurricane model last year.
- HMON performed very well for track forecast of EPAC storms, even better than its parent model (GFS). Whereas, for NATL storms, its track forecast was somewhat behind other models.
- For intensity forecast, HMON was overall a little behind HWRF, and struggled for both NATL and EPAC basins, with overall weaker intensity biases especially for longer forecast lead times.
- For individual storms/forecast cycles, HMON and HWRF provided mixed track and intensity forecast skills. For example, HMON performed very well for Isaac (09L), while HWRF struggled for lots of cycles for this storm.







Thank you!

Real-time NCEP operational model guidance for all global TCs

HWRF: http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/HWRF

HMON: http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/gc_wmb/vxt/HMON



FY2018 HWRF/HMON Configurations



| | HWRF | HMON | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Dynamic core | Non-hydrostatic, NMM-E | Non-hydrostatic, NMM-B | | | | |
| Resolution and nesting | 13.5/4.5/1.5 km; 77°/18°/6°; L75(10mb top); two-way nesting and vortex-following | 18/6/2 km; 75°/12°/8°; L51(50mb top); two-way nesting and vortex-following | | | | |
| Data Assimilation and Initialization | Vortex relocation & adjustment; self-cycled hybrid EnKF-GSI with inner core DA (TDR) | Modified vortex relocation & adjustment; no DA | | | | |
| Physics | Updated surface (GFDL); NOAH LSM; GFS-EDMF PBL; scale-aware SAS; Ferrier- Aligo; Modified RRTMG | Surface (GFDL); NOAH LSM; GFS- EDMF PBL; scale-aware SAS; Ferrier- Aligo; RRTMG | | | | |
| Coupling | Unified NCEP coupler; MPIPOM/HYCOM; RTOFS/GDEM; one-way coupling to WW3 | Unified NCEP coupler; HYCOM; RTOFS/NCODA; no wave coupling | | | | |
| Post-processing | NHC interpolation method; updated GFDL tracker | NHC interpolation method; GFDL tracker | | | | |
| NEMS/NUOPC | No | Yes with moving nests | | | | |
| Computation cost for forecast job | 81 nodes in 98 mins | 26 nodes in 95 mins | | | | |

Toward High Resolution Convection Resolving Modeling in Operational HWRF



Overall neutral impact for track while substantial improvements for intensity

320

ERRORS (NW)

∄60 2160 ND ATMOS

noa:



Considering Dropsonde Drifting in DA





Observed radar winds (shaded) and wind vectors (arrows), and the dropsonde release locations (red), as well as its subsequent computed dropsonde advection trajectories (grey) for Hurricane Irma (11L2017) on 08 September.



24



Modified Cloud Overlap Method for RRTMG



"Maximum-random" (FY2017 HWRF)

 Continuous cloud layers overlap as much as possible; blocks of cloud layers with clearance between are oriented randomly
"Exponential-random" (FY2018 HWRF)

- Continuous cloud layers use overlap that transitions exponentially from maximum to random with distance through clouds, blocks of cloud layers with clearance between are oriented randomly
- Constant decorrelation length ($Z_0 = \sim 1-2 \text{ km}$) controls rate of exponential transition



Overall positive impacts for both track and intensity



Vertical west-east slice: through Joaquin eye Radiative Heating Rates - SW

Maximum-Random Exponential-Random



FY2017 HWRF FY2018 HWRF Inner nest at ~900 hPa, Joaquin



FY2018 HWRF Configurations for Different TC Basins



| Basin | Ocean Coupling | Wave Coupling | DA | Ensemble DA | Vertical | Тор |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|--------|-----------------------|----------|-------|
| NATL | POM GDEM/GFSSST | WW3 1-way | Always | TDR/priority storm | 75 level | 10 mb |
| EPAC | POM RTOFS | WW3 1-way | Always | TDR/priority storm | 75 level | 10 mb |
| CPAC | POM RTOFS | WW3 1-way | None | None | 75 level | 10 mb |
| WPAC | НҮСОМ | None | None | None | 75 level | 10 mb |
| NIO | НҮСОМ | None | None | None | 75 level | 10 mb |
| SIO | НҮСОМ | None | None | None | 75 level | 10 mb |
| SPAC | НҮСОМ | None | None | None | 75 level | 10 mb |

- EnKF self-cycled DA system for one TDR or priority storm
- 75 vertical levels with 10-hPa top for all global TC basins
- Ocean coupling for all global TC basins (POM for NHC basins, HYCOM for JTWC basins)
- POM RTOFS initialization for EPAC/CPAC basin
- One-way coupling to wave model for NATL, EPAC, and CPAC
- Sea surface wave IC/BC come from global wave model