# HFIP Verification Team: FY11 Review

HFIP Team Meeting Miami, FL 08 November 2011

- OAR / GFDL
  - Tim Marchok
- OAR / AOML / HRD
  - Rob Rogers
- OAR / ESRL
  - Mike Fiorino
- SUNY-Albany
  - Ryan Torn
- NRL
  - Jim Goerss
  - Hao Jin

- TCMT and DTC
  - Barb Brown
  - Louisa Nance
  - Ligia Berndardet (DTC)
  - Paul Kucera
- NESDIS
  - Mark DeMaria
- NCEP / NHC
  - James Franklin
- NCEP / EMC
  - Vijay Tallapragada
- NWS/OST
  - Tony Eckel

#### Verification Team Milestones 2011

- Testing and evaluation of operational models
- Planning and coordination of HFIP hurricane model evaluations for retrospective demonstration tests
- Ongoing model verification analyses for retrospective and demonstration exercises
- Development, testing, and implementation of new tools for evaluation of hurricane forecasts.

# **HWRF: Testing and Evaluation**

#### Testing and evaluation of operational models

- Pre-implementation testing of HWRF
  - Test plan (EMC, DTC, NHC)
     Stream 1
     5.1.1
     June 1, 2011
  - Report on testing activities and results (EMC, DTC, NHC) Stream 1 5.1.2 Sept 30, 2011

#### Created (w/ EMC) and conducting DTC HWRF Test Plan

- <u>January</u>: Reference Configuration (benchmark of 27/9 community code using 2011 baseline configuration)
- <u>July</u>: Benchmark of 27/9 code using 2011 operational configuration (Findings led to crisis-RFC fixes)
- <u>November</u>: HWRF Reference Configuration (benchmark of 27/9 community code using 2011 operational configuration)
- <u>December</u>: Benchmark of 27/9/3 code
- <u>December</u>: Test of various cumulus parameterizations in HWRF

Developmental Testbed Center-

## Tests of 2011 Operational HWRF Model

#### 2011 Operational HWRF model

• Testing and evaluation

**Developmental Testbed Center** 

• Uncovered SAS bug \_\_\_\_ crisis RFC

2010 Tropical Cyclone Tracks Storm: EP0510 (DARBY) H21-~ 2 H21A 3 JFIX ~₹ 18N 16N 14N 12N ION **IBM** Linux 1068 10<sup>4</sup>W 102W 100% 98₩ 96W 94W 9Ź₩ эńw 108W Forecasts: Beginning 2010062300 Observed: Beginning 2010062300, every 12 hours

Same code with bug produces different forecast on Linux and IBM.

Fixed code produces similar forecast on Linux and IBM.

# **GFDL: Testing and Evaluation**

# 1. Testing and evaluation of first major upgrade of operational GFDL model since 2006

- January: New model configuration frozen.
- <u>February</u>: Retrospective runs from 2008-2010 completed



2.Testing and evaluation of GFDL ensemble for potential use as a Stream 1.5 model

## 2011 Retrospective Planning, Testing, and Evaluation

- Verification team and TCMT coordinated 2011 Stream 1.5 Retrospective Evaluation with NHC and modeling groups
- Evaluation activities coordinated with NHC
  - Use of interpolator
  - This year included performance relative to consensus, top-flight models
  - Powerful comparisons with paired tests
  - Identification of "practically" important differences
- Many types of models and groups involved – much more than 2010
- More on this Wed (Nance presentation)



0	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108	120
0.0	5.6	10.9	16.6	15.2	19.2	14.7	20.2	1.1	12.8	15.6
0%	16%	17%	20%	15%	16%	11%	13%	1%	6%	6%
-	0.999	0.965	0.932	0.769	0.786	0.689	0.528	0.020	0.164	0.087
0.0	0.1	2.6	4.4	5.3	6.9	5.9	4.8	4.0	2.4	-0.4
0%	1%	16%	19%	19%	22%	18%	14%	12%	7%	-1%
-	0.132	0.995	0.998	0.97	0.98	0.987	0.966	0.883	0.602	0.095
0.0	2.8	5.8	16.3	11.2	9.8	11.0	1.8	17.8	109.2	74.7
0%	9%	11%	20%	12%	9%	9%	1%	9%	35%	23%
-	0.836	0.850	0.995	0.911	0.752	0.661	0.080	0.45	0.951	-
0.0	0.8	0.1	4.0	4.6	9.5	17.0	15.9	2.0	1.7	-17.3
0%	3%	0%	6%	5%	9%	13%	10%	1%	1%	-8%
-	0.343	0.025	0.531	0.481	0.477	0.625	0.481	0.051	0.050	0.419
0.0	-1.3	-1.4	-2.9	-6.4	-7.6	-9.9	-11.9	-12.9	-13.0	-10.5
0%	-16%	-11%	-18%	-39%	-43%	-54%	-66%	-72%	-64%	-47%
-	0.893	0.952	0.972	0.999	0.998	0.984	0.968	0.931	0.864	0.891
0.0	-1.7	-2.2	-4.1	-6.6	-8.9	-12.5	-14.8	-16.8	-17.7	-18.2
0%	-23%	-19%	-28%	-42%	-56%	-82%	-101%	-123%	-123%	-118%
-	0.999	0.993	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.998	0.992	0.979	0.968

### **HFIP 2011 Demonstration**

- Real-time track and intensity plots
- Case and full sample evaluations
  - Stream 1, 1.5, 2 + combination







х

х

x

x

х

x

х

14







Lead Time (hr)

## Verification tools and methods

- Community verification tools
  - Development of community tools to replicate and extend NHC capability is in progress
  - Requirements document ready for review
  - Implementation and testing this winter by DTC
- Interpolator
  - Interpolator code implemented by TCMT
  - Eventually will make available to community
- Verification methods document
  - Summarize current capabilities for track and intensity
  - In progress

Collaboration with WMO Joint Working Group on Forecast Verification Research



#### Verification tools and methods

#### Additional topics

- Ensemble method investigation
- Sample size investigation
- Genesis probabilities
- Tracker implementation in community code

### **Ensemble verification methods**

20

ranks

• Exploring methods to jointly evaluate ensemble track and intensity forecasts

#### Minimum Spanning tree (analogous to rank histogram for multivariate forecasts)

> Energy score (analogous to CRPS) for multivariate forecasts)



Storm 02, initialized at 081200 2009





#### Sample size investigations

Exploration of how many samples are needed to obtain stable verification results

Ex: What happens if some issue times not included? What are the impacts of autocorrelation on verification analyses?



#### Verification tools and methods

 Development of model-based tools for forecasting genesis; Perform verification of model genesis forecasts (5.3.3, 5.4.1).

Ensemble products sub-group has begun work to use a combination of statistical / diagnostic methods (Majumdar) as well as trackerbased methods (below) to create a genesis forecast product using global ensembles.



### **Upgrades for tracker**

- Effort currently underway involving GFDL, EMC, ESRL, DTC to unify versions of the tracker with most recent version. Targeting Spring, 2012 for implementation at NCEP (5.4.1).
- Tracker upgrade at NCEP to include cyclone phase detection, tracking on moveable nests, as well as tracking for additional ensembles such as SREF, FNMOC, NAEFS, and 12Z ECMWF.

# Release of tracker to community

Continue to improve tracker, release latest version to the Community (*GFDL*, DTC) Stream 2 5.4.2 Sept 30, 2011

#### **GFDL tracker releases**

- <u>August</u> component of HWRF v3.3a release
- <u>November</u>- stand alone version (v3.3b) for models other than HWRF

#### **GFDL tracker upgrades**

 <u>Ongoing</u> - Upgraded tracker for all NCEP applications will be transitioned to DTC when ready



## **Challenges and Issues**

- Use of common tracker
- Estimation of forecast intensity
- Need for central verification activities for consistent model evaluations
- Stratification of results
   What are appropriate subsamples?
- Evaluation of additional storm attributes
  - What additional storm characteristics should be considered?

Precipitation? Storm surge? Storm structure variables? Others?

### **Challenges and Issues**

#### Use of common tracker / Estimation of forecast intensity

Two cases of Philippe from GFDL ensemble: **Green** track uses external tracker (no time averaging), **Blue** track uses internal model tracker (3-h time averaging).



Individual ensemble members all showed similar variability, with mean intensity differences on the order of 1% - 4% at each lead time over the full season (n=350).

#### **GFDL Tracker vs. COAMPS-TC Built-in Tracker**

- GFDL tracker has been implemented in COAMPS-TC and used for the 2011 real-time forecasts.
- Comparison of GFDL tracker with COAMPS-TC built-in tracker shows that they are very close.

